Research Article

Effects of Using Cis-Atracourium as Adjuvant Agents to the Local Anaesthetic on Peribulbar Anesthesia.

Ibrahim T. Ibrahim*, Ahmed Z. Mohamed*, Marwa F. Bakkry** and Mahmoud M. Genidy**

* Department of anesthesia, faculty of medicine, El-Minia Unversity Egypt

** Department of Opthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, El-Minia Unversity Egypt**

Abstract

Background and aim of work: Peribulbar block is widely practiced in cataract surgery, but it has slow onset of ocular akinesia. This study was done to evaluate the effects of adding law dose cis-Atracurium to the local anesthetics on akinesia in peribulbar block. **Patients and methods:** This study was done on \wedge ASA patients undergoing cataract surgery under peribulbar anesthesia. The patients were divided blindly in two groups, The 1^{st} group received \circ ml solution containing %ml of % lidocaine with $9 \cdot$ iu of hyaluronic acid, $1.\circ$ ml of bupivacaine $..\circ\%$ plus $..\circ$ ml 1^{m} of cis-Atracurium, while the 7^{nd} received \circ ml solution containing %ml of % lidocaine with $9 \cdot$ iu of hyaluronic acid, $1.\circ$ ml of % loset, duration, and intensity of globe and lid akinesia were recorded. **Results:** globe and lid akinesia were faster in 1^{st} group and has longer duration with less need for 7^{nd} injection and more surgeon satisfaction. **Conclusion:** the addition of law dose cis-Atracurium to the local anesthetic in peribulbar block accelerates akinesia and improves quality of block and increases surgeons satisfaction.

Key words: cis-Atracurium; peribulbar block

Introduction

Most of eye surgeries are done under local anesthesia, as the patients are elderly and have multiple systemic diseases beside that the ability of the local anesthesia to induce good akinesia and anesthesia.^(1, τ)

The corner stone of ophthalmic anesthesia is establishment of akinesia which help the surgeon during operation and controlling pain through limiting eye movement^(τ).

Retrobulbar block is more effective and rapid than the peribulbar block in establishing akinesia but it has hazardous side effects^(t).

Peribulbar block is more safe but it is used less frequently because of its slow onset and inadequate intensity of akinesia which needs large volume of anesthetic substance to overcome leading to increase in the IOP making +ve vitreous pressure making difficulty to the surgeons^{(*).}

Multiple clinical trials have shown that the addition of neuromuscular blocker to the local anesthetic solution improves the quality of

anesthesia⁽¹⁾, so we aim to study the effect of adding law dose cisAtracurium on the peribulbar anesthesia.

Patients and methods

Following approval of local ethical committee and informed written consent, this study was performed in El-Minia University Hospital in the period from January $\gamma \cdot \gamma \gamma$ to September $\gamma \cdot \gamma \gamma$. The study involved $\wedge \cdot$ patients, ASA I or II aged between $\epsilon \cdot$ and $\wedge \cdot$ years scheduled to undergo cataract surgeries under peribulbar block.

We exclude patients with history of allergic reactions to local anesthetics, history of seizures, peripheral neurological diseases, patients with coagulopathy or on anti coagulant therapy and axial length more than Υ mm. local anesthetic solution was prepared- by anesthesiologist other than the one who will gave the peribulbar block without being aware of the type of the group of patient- in ° milliliters syringe which labeled as ' containing solution of Υ ml of lidocaine hydrochloride Υ ' and Λ .° ml of bupivacaine Λ .°' plus Λ .° ml ('mg) of cis-Atracurium or Υ containing solution of "ml of lidocaine hydrochloride "?. and `.o ml of bupivacaine ·.o? plus ·.oml of normal saline. Patients were divided randomly in two equal groups, after routine monitoring was applied to the patients, they received peribulbar block with the two different mixtures.

The injections will be carried out using a needle $({}^{\circ}G)$ with the length of ${}^{\circ}mm$ being connected to a syringe containing anesthetic solution of ${}^{\circ}cc$ volume.

The block was done as follows:

The patient lies supine and look directly ahead focusing on a fixed point on the ceiling, so that the eyes are in the neutral position. Needle was introduced inferotemporal at a point $1-1.\circ$ cm medial to the lateral canthus and directed slightly medially ($7 \cdot$) and cephalad ($1 \cdot$) until needle hub contact the skin. After -ve aspiration \circ cc of the local anesthetic mixture according to patient's group was injected guarded by no crowdness of the eye. Then soft intermittent digital pressure on the eye was applied for \circ minutes to decrease the intraocular pressure, help spread the anesthetic solution and promote akinesia of peripulbar muscles.

After \circ minutes eye examined for successful block which known by ptosis, no or minimal eye movement and inability to close the opened eye. If there is incomplete block, a second injection (\degree cc lidocaine \degree ?) can be given as an augmentation to the first injection between the caruncle and the medial canthus, passing back and slightly medially (away from the globe) for about \degree mm. Complete the injection was considered \cdot time

Parameters assessed after the block:

(A) Onset and intensity of motor blockade (Akinesia): Ocular akinesia was assessed by asking the patient to look superior, inferior, medial and lateral; also lid akinesia was assessed by testing the ability to close the eye. They were assessed at Y, ξ, Y, A and Y minutes after injection. Ocular akinesia was assessed using (Akinesia score)^(Y).

 \cdot =No movement (\cdot - \cdot mm motion in \cdot to \cdot main directions) Total akinesia

`=Slight movement(`mm motion in

each of the main directions or ^Ymm motion in ^Y or many other main directions) Relative akinesia

^Y=Full movement(more than ^Ymm motion range in each of the main directions or ^Ymm motion range in ^Y or more main directions) No akinesia

(B) akinesia of the orbicularis muscle was assessed as follows:

·=Complete akinesia

¹=Partial movement in either or both eyelid margins.

 γ =Normal movement in either or both eyelid margins.^(V)

(C) Number of second injections needed:

A second dose of the local anesthetic (\degree cc lidocaine \degree ?) may be needed if the block is incomplete after \degree min from the first injection as manifested by akinesia score \degree .

(**D**) Duration of the block (Akinesia):

Starting from injecting the anesthetic solution till complete recovery from the block occurs. It was tested by movement assessment using the previous scoring system at γ and γ post-operatively.

(E) Quality of operative condition assessed by a surgeon:

•= unsuccessful (Failed to work)

1 = poor (Inadequate for surgery)

 γ = acceptable (Block is incomplete but surgery could proceed)

r = perfect (Effective block)

Sample size analysis: power analysis was performed using a power of 9.% and value of ...o sample size was calculated using graphpad instat satatistics program for power analysis.

Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (\pm SD) or No. & %. Input data were processed using computer-based software (SPSS version $\uparrow \forall$ interface). Un paired t test was used for comparing the two groups as regard quantitative variables and Kruskal Wallis test followed by Mann- Whitney test for comparison of the qualitative data. P value of < \cdot . $\cdot \circ$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

∧ • patients included in this study divided in two groups, the two groups were comparable with respect to demographic data and duration of the surgery.

The time adequate to begin the surgery:

In the cis-Atracurium group there was significant decrease in this time $(\circ. \% \pm \%.)$ $^{\circ}$ min) compared to the control group, as it was $^{9.4} \pm \%. \circ \%$ min., and we find that the duration of the block was significant longer in group $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ $^{\circ} \pm \%. \circ \%$ min.) compared to group $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ $^{\circ} \pm \%. \circ \%$ min.).

Table (`): Onset, duration of the block and time addition	lequate to begin the surgery in the two
groups (Mean ± SD and Range).	

Variables	Ggroup ۱ (Cis-atracurium)	Ggroup ۲ (Control)	DAvat
variables	$N = t \cdot$	$N = t \cdot$	F 'VS'
	Mean±SD (Range)	Mean± SD (Range)	
Onget of motor block (min)	۳.٤ ± ۲.۱۳	۷ <u>.</u> ۰۰ ± ۲.۹٦	<····
Unset of motor block (min)	(۲-۸)	(7-17)	
Time adequate to begin	٥.٣ <u>±</u> ٣.١٩	۹ _. ۹ <u>+</u> ۳.٥۲	<····
surgery (min)	(7-17)	(1-12)	<
Duration of block (min)	170 ± 71.77	1.0± 74.07	
Duration of block (min)	(170_190)	(٦٠-١٣٥)	<*.***

Un paired t test was used for these data.

*: Significant difference between groups (p value $< \cdot \cdot \circ$).

Intensity of the Block (Globe and Lid Akinesia): Eye movement was assessed at $, \xi$, $, \lambda$ and $, \cdot$ minutes after injection in the two groups using akinesia scoring system for the globe and eyelids.

Globe akinesia:

Group $\$ (cis-atracurium group) reached score \cdot significantly more rapid than in the control group (group $\$).

As at $\forall min. \forall \land patients (\forall \cdot ?)$ in group \forall reached score \cdot , while only $\forall patients$ in group \forall .

And At γ min., all patients γ reached score \cdot in the first group, while γ patients $(\epsilon \cdot \lambda)$ in group γ , so there were significant differences between group γ with group γ . These data are illustrated in table γ

Time ofter		Group \	Group ۲	D
injection	Score	cis-Atracurium	Control	T VvcY
injection		$\mathbf{N} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\cdot}$	$N = \mathfrak{t} \cdot$	' VS '
	•	۲۸ (۲۰٪)	٦ (١٥٪)	
۲ min	ì	۸ (۲ • ٪)	۲ (۵٪)	<٠.٠٠١*
	۲	٤ (١٠٪)	۳۲ (۸۰٪)	
	•	۳۲ (۸۰٪)	۲ (۱۰٪)	
٤ min	ì	۲ (۱۰٪)	٦ (١٥٪)	<•.••*
	۲	۲ (٥٪)	۲۸ (۲۰٪)	
	•	٣٤ (٨٥٪)	۸ (۲۰٪)	
۲ min	ì	٤ (١٠٪)	٦ (١٥٪)	<•.••`
	۲	۲ (۰٪)	४२ (२०٪)	
	•	۳٦ (٩٠٪)	(٪۰۲) ۱۰	
^ min	ì	٤ (١٠٪)	٦ (١٥٪)	<•.••*
	۲	·(·٪)	۲٤ (۲۰٪)	
	•	٤٠ (١٠٠٪)	(٣٠٪)	
۱۰ min	ì	• (•½)	٤ (١٠٪)	<•.••*
	۲	• (•%)	۲٤ (۲۰٪)	

Table ($^{\uparrow}$): Showing globe akinesia scores in the three groups at $^{\uparrow}$, $^{\downarrow}$, $^{\uparrow}$, $^{\wedge}$ and $^{\uparrow}$ · min. after injection

(Data expressed as N and %)

Kruskal-Wallis test was used for comparison between groups.

*: Significant difference between groups (p value < •.•°).

Lid akinesia:

Cis-Atracurium group reaches the score \cdot more rapidly than the control group significantly, but more delayed than the globe akinesia, as at γ min, $\gamma\gamma$ patients (°°%) in group γ (cis-Atracurium group) reached score \cdot , while γ (°%) patients were in group γ , at ϵ min. only $\xi(1, \frac{1}{2})$ patients were presented with score 1 in the first group, while $1^{\gamma} (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ patients still having score 1 in the control group. At $1 \cdot \min$, no patients with score \cdot presented in group 1, and 1^{γ} patients $(1, \frac{1}{2})$ in the control group. So our results showed that there were significant differences between the two groups.

Table (^r): Sh	owing lid akine	sia scores in t	he two groups at	۲,٤,٦,٨ ε	und 🐚 min. after in	ijection.
----------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------	---------------------	-----------

Time after	Score	Group \ cis-Atracurium	Group ۲ control	P
injection		N = [£] ·	N = [£] ·	' 15 '
	•	(٪۰۰) ۲۲	۲ (٥٪)	
۲ min	١	∧ (ヾ・½)	۲ (٥٪)	<•.••`
	۲	(۲۰٪) ۱۰	۳٦ (٩٠٪)	
	•	۲٤ (٦٠٪)	٤ (١٠٪)	
٤ min	١	17(7.%)	٤ (١٠٪)	<•.••)*
	۲	٤ (١٠٪)	۳۲ (۸۰٪)	
	•	۳۰(۷۰٪)	۲ (۱۰٪)	
۲ min	١	٦ (١٥٪)	٤ (١٠٪)	<•.••`
	۲	٤ (١٠٪)	۳۰ (۷۰٪)	
	•	۳٦ (٩٠٪)	۲ (۱۰٪)	
^ min	١	۲ (٥٪)	(۲۰٪)	<•.••)*
	۲	۲(٥٪)	४२ (२०٪)	
	•	۳۸ (۹۰٪)	٦ (١٥٪)	
۱۰ min	١	۱۰ (٥٪)	۱۰(۲۰٪)	<•.••`
	۲	· (•%)	۲٤(٦٠٪)	

Chi- square test was used for comparison between the two groups.

*: Significant difference between groups (p value $< \cdot \cdot \circ$).

Quality of block

The block was perfect in $\circ\circ$? of the patients in group ' (receiving cis-atracutium), it was

acceptable in $\frac{\varepsilon \circ ?}{100}$ While in group 1000 (control group), the block was perfect in 100 only and acceptable in 100 of the patients.

Quality of block	Group ۱ N (%)	Group ۲ N (%)	P VVSY
Acceptable:	١٨ (٤٥٪)	۳٦ (٩٠٪)] *
Perfect:	YY (00%)	٤ (١٠٪)	

Table ([£]): Quality of the block in the three groups.

Fisher's exact test comparison of these data

Patient Satisfaction Score:

There was significant difference when comparing patient satisfaction score. There was complete satisfaction in group $\$ in $\forall \cdot \ddot{\prime}$ of the patients While in group \forall (control group) it was only $\$.

Table (°): patient satisfaction score in the three groups.

Patient Satisfaction Score	Group \ N (%)	Group ۲ N (%)	P \vs7
Dissatisfaction	• (•%)	١٦ (٤٠%)	
Some dissatisfaction	۱۲ (۳۰٪)	۲۰ (۰۰٪)	<•.••*
Complete satisfaction	۲۸ (۲۰٪)	٤ (١٠٪)	

Fisher's exact test, for categorical data.

*: Significant difference between groups (p value < •.•°).

Discussion:

Peribulbar block is widely used in cataract surgery, but it is slow onset and needs large volume of local anesthetics which may increase the intraocular pressure producing positive vitreous pressure intraoperatively^(A)., so some clinical trials have shown that addition of neuromuscular blocker (e.g. vecuronium, pancronium, cis-Atracurium or atracurium) to the local anaesthetics improves the quality of the block and accelerates onset of akinesia ,and decrease the volume required for the block⁽¹⁾.

The main finding in our study is that adding cis-Atracurium \mbox{mg} to a mixture of lidocaine $\mbox{$\gamma$}$? and bupivacaine $\mbox{$\circ$}$? provided adequate peribulbar anesthesia, decreased its onset time and prolong its duration in patients undergoing cataract surgery without causing adverse effects. The results of our study revealed that cisatracurium increased intensity of akinesia, quality of the block assessed by the anesthiologist, quality of the operative condition assessed by the surgeon and time to adequate condition to begin surgery. However, the exact mechanism through which the local administration of a non-depolarizing muscle relaxant improves globe and eyelid akinesia is not known, but is believed to be due to local effects at the muscles motor end plate, this idea was suggested by Abdellatif et al in (\cdot, \cdot) ⁽¹⁾.

These results are in agreement with the results of Godarzi et al., double blind study compared effects of atracurium and cis-Atracurium added to local anesthetic, and found that total 99 akinesia is comparatively more frequent in group of atracurium and cis-atracurium than the placebo group⁽¹¹⁾.

In the present study, we found that the onset of the akinesia was significantly faster in group I (cis-atracurium group) was $7.\xi \pm 7.17$ min,while in group 7 (control group) was 7.5 ± 7.97 min. This result was in line with the studies of Soltani and his colleagues who proved that atracurium group was of more rapid onset compared with the control group⁽¹⁷⁾.

Also Küçükyavuz and Arici concluded that adding low dose of atracurium (°mg) to the local anesthetics in peribulbar block decreases the onset time of akinesia⁽¹⁾.

The current study revealed that the mean duration of the block was significantly longer in cis-atracurium group which was 170 ± 71.77 min than in the control group which was 1.0 ± 77.07 min.

The same as in Eghbal et al., results showed that atracurium addition to the local anesthetics prolongs the duration of the block and fastens the onset of the block⁽¹⁷⁾. As regard time adequate to begin the surgery, its mean duration in cis-atracurium group was $\circ.^{r}\pm^{r}.^{1}$ min, while in the control group was $9.^{9}\pm^{r}.^{\circ}$ min, so there was significant difference between groups I and III and between groups II and III.

In the study of Abdellatif et al., found that adding low dose of rocuronium to the local anaesthetics in peribulbar block decreases the time adequate to begin the surgery^(1,1).

Our study showed that the need for a second injection was significantly increased in control group than in the other group.

Abdellatif et al., suggested that adding rocuronium to a low concentration of the local anaesthetics decreases the need for these supplemental blocks, (1, 2)

Concerning the quality or intensity of globe akinesia in the different times with cisatracurium group was comparatively better than the control group. Also as regard the lid akinesia it was faster in group ' than in group '. Godarzi et al., showed in their study that as regard the quality of akinesia, cis-atracurium group was comparatively better than atracurium group and placebo group⁽¹⁾. As regard quality of the block, our results showed that it was perfect in $\gamma\gamma$ patients of group γ (cis-atracurium group), while in group γ only ϵ patients were perfect block.

Küçükyavuz and Arici proved that adding atracurium (°mg) to the local anesthetics in peribulbar block provides better surgical conditions without obvious side-effects^(*).

In conclusion, the quality of peribulber block ,surgical satisfaction may be improved by addition of law dose cis-Atracurium to the local anesthetics. Further evaluation of another dose regimen to obtain perfect block is recommended.

Conflict of interest: no conflict of interest

References

- RubinA. Eye blocks in: WildsmithJAW, ArmitageEN, McLure, editors. principles and practice of regional anesthesia. london: Churchill Livingstone; Y · · Y.
- Chishti K, Varvinskiy A. anesthesia for ophthalmic surgery. A TOTW Y...9; 180:1-11.
- *. Friedman DS, Bass EB, Lubomski LH, Fleisher LA, Kempen JH, Magaziner J, Sprintz M and Robinson K, Schein OD: Synthesis of the literature on the effectiveeness of regional anesthesia for cataract surgery. Ophthalmology Y···); V·A(T):019-T9.
- ٤. Davis DB ^Υnd, Mandel MR. Efficacy and complication rate of ^Υ,^{ΥΥ}^ε consecutive peribulbar blocks. A prospective multicenter study. J Cataract Refract Surg ^{Υ۹۹}^ε; ^Υ·(^۳):^{ΥΥ}^Υ-^Υ^Υ.
- Hamilton RC, Gimbel HV, Strunin L. Regional anesthesia for ¹Υ,··· cataract extraction and intraocular lens implantation procedures. Can J Anesth ¹۹٨Λ; ^۳ο(¹):¹⁰-¹Υ.
- Reah G, Bodenham AR, Braithwaite P, Esmond J, Menage MJ. Peribulbar anesthesia using a mixture of local anesthetic and vecuronium. Anesthesia \\??.
- V. Nicoll JMV, TreurenB, Acharya PA, AhlenK and James M: Retrobulbar anesthesia:the role of hyaluronidase Anesthesia and Analgesia 1947; To:1776-1774.

- ^A. Gillart T, Barrau P, Bazin JE, Roche G, Chiambaretta F and Schoeffler P. Lidocaine plus ropivacaine versus lidocaine plus bupivacaine peribulbar anesthesia by single medial injection. Anesth Analg 1999; A9: 1197-7.
- Al Hassan MB, Kyari F and Ejere HO: Peribulbar versus retrobulbar anesthesia for cataract surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, Y · · ^.
- Y. Küçükyavuz Z, Arici MK. Effects of atracurium added to local anesthetics on akinesia in peribulbar block. Reg Anesth Pain Med Y..Y; YV(°): ٤٨٧-٩.
- ۱۱. Abdellatif AA, Shahawy MA, Ahmed AI, Almarakbi WA and Alhashemi JA: Effects of local low-dose rocuronium on the quality of peribulbar anesthesia for cataract surgery. Saudi J of Anesth ۲۰۱۱; ۳٦٠:۳٦٤-٥.

- Y. Godarzi M, Beyranvand S, Arbabi S, Sharoughi M, Mohtaram R and Ebrahim Soltani A: Comparing the Effect of Using Atracourium and Cis-Atracourium as Adjuvant agents to the Local Anesthetic Substance on Peribulbar-Induced Akinesia. Acta Med Iran. Y. YY Aug; 29(A): 20.9-17.
- Y^r. Soltani Mohammadi S, Shah Hosseini AAR, Asghari R. Effects of atracurium added to local anesthetics on akinesia in peribulbar block. Iran J Ophthalmol Y · · [£]; Y⁽¹⁾;^rY-[£] ·.
- 12. Eghbal MH, Tabei H, TareghAS, Razeghinejad M.: The effect of addition of low dose atracurium to local anesthetic in retrobulbar block for cataract surgery. M.E.J. anaesth. Y. (2):Y.Y.